

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/895,558	06/30/2001	Frank Rieck	ANWAL.030AUS	6487
75	90 04/09/2003			
MURAMATSU & ASSOCIATES Suite 255 7700 Irvine Center Drive Irvine, CA 92618			EXAMINER	
			CUEVAS, PEDRO J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary			Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		Office Action Summers	09/895,558	RIECK ET AL.			
		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
			Pedro J. Cuevas	2834			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 December 2002</u> .						
	2a)⊠		s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-14 is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to.						
	8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/895,558

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 5-14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,840,193 to Schiel in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,791,339 to Winter, further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,040,567 to Nestler et al.

Schiel clearly teaches the construction of a solenoid valve having:

a valve housing (31) formed in one piece;

an electromagnet (30), which has:

a coil (3),

a yoke (4), and

a clapper armature (18) in the fluid region; and

having at least:

a first valve seat (20), and

a sealing element (16) which can be actuated by the clapper armature and which co-operates with the first valve seat,

a shell-like form in the region of the arrangement thereof on the yoke pin (24);

characterised in that:

the yoke has yoke pins (24, 26) and the clapper armature is pivotally and magnetically connected with one of the yoke pins at one end thereof that is remote from the sealing element while another yoke pin engages with another end of the clapper armature that is proximal to the sealing element,

the first valve seat is pressed into the valve housing and, to compensate for manufacturing tolerances, the first valve seat is adjustable in terms of the relative position thereof to the clapper armature by pressing in the valve seat,

a first resilient element (21) is provided and acts on the sealing element for the purpose of a closure of the first valve seat by the sealing element,

a second resilient element (19) is provided and acts on the sealing element, which co-operates with the clapper armature, for the purpose of a raising of the sealing element from the first valve seat,

the first resilient element and the electromagnet co-operating in such a manner that, when the electromagnet is excited, the sealing element is lifted away from the first valve seat and, when the electromagnet is not excited, the sealing element comes into closing contact with the first valve seat owing to the force of the first resilient element,

a second valve seat (17) is provided and co-operates with a sealing element which can be actuated by the clapper armature, and

Application/Control Number: 09/895,558

Art Unit: 2834

the two valve seats which are pressed into the valve housing are provided, the sealing element which can be actuated by the clapper armature being arranged between the two valve seats and the relative position of the valve seats to each other and to the clapper armature being adjustable by pressing in the valve seats.

However, it fails to disclose a coil is wound directly onto the valve housing, and an armature being pressed onto the yoke pin by means of a spring.

Winter teach the construction of a spring piloted safety valve with jet venturi bias having a coil (40), which is wound directly onto the also unitary valve housing (23) for the purpose of providing a biasing force that urges the armature to the armature closed position.

Nestler et al. teach the construction of a multi-way valve having a coil (36) wound around an outer surface of the valve housing (34), and an armature (38) being pressed onto the yoke corner by means of a spring (46) for the purpose of pressing the armature against the end region of the actuating member (20) in the vicinity of closing body (24).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the spring piloted safety valve with jet venturi bias disclosed by Winter and the spring and armature arrangement disclosed by Nestler et al. on the solenoid valve disclosed by Schiel for the purpose of providing a biasing force that urges the armature to the armature closed position and pressing the armature against the end region of the actuating member in the vicinity of closing body.

Application/Control Number: 09/895,558

Art Unit: 2834

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: neither Schiel, Winter, Nestler et al., or the prior art found by the examiner teach the construction of a solenoid valve characterised in that the clapper armature is guided through the coil.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro J. Cuevas whose telephone number is (703) 308-4904. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor R. Ramírez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-1341 for regular communications and (703) 305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Pedro J. Cuevas March 27, 2003

NESTOR PAMIREZ
SUPERMISORY PRIENT DIAMMER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800